

PLANNING AND LICENSING COMMITTEE

11th May 2016

ADDITIONAL PAGES UPDATE

DISTRIBUTED AT THE COMMITTEE MEETING

**AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF THE
LOCAL GOVERNMENT (ACCESS TO INFORMATION) ACT 1985**

Additional Representations on Schedule Items

Pages 5 - 7

PLANNING AND LICENSING COMMITTEE

11th May 2016

ADDITIONAL PAGES ON SCHEDULE ITEMS

Item	Ref. No	Content
02	15/02907/FUL CD.6972/C	Third Party Representation – Please see attached dated 5th May 2016.

**4 Fyfield
Lechlade, GL7 3NT**

CDC Planning Dept
Trinity Road
Cirencester GL7 1PX

9 May 2016

Dear Sirs

Planning Application 15/02907/FUL

I would like, if possible to speak at the Planning Committee Meeting on the 11th May regarding the above application.

I wish to object on the following grounds:

- 1) This application does not concur with the provision of the development plan and is neither a first home nor social housing.
- 2) This is a single track lane already running at full capacity with many of the residents using two or even three vehicles in a lane that was only ever meant to take farm traffic.

There is a pinch point between houses 4 and 5 and Honeysuckle Cottage. As a resident of 40 years I have seen the occupancy of the lane grow from 5 to 9 cottages and traffic movement has increased beyond recognition and on some days there is a constant flow of delivery and service vehicles on a lane that has only been resurfaced once in 40 years and finishes at number 5. It is important to note there is absolutely no recognised turning facility at the bottom of this lane and most vehicles have to reverse out onto the top road.

6

Item 02 15/02907/FUL CD.6972/C.

- 3) Access to Field cottage and the fields beyond is by agreement and usage. This is only a soft dirt track and there seems to be some confusion as to the ownership and use of this piece of track. It cannot be regarded as lane due to its make up. This part of the lane would to be used by the developer to access the site in question.
- 4) The Highways Department surveys were a total farce, one being vandalised and the other taking place for a short time on a Saturday when there was no farm traffic, no delivery services and no school run. Try getting out on a day during the harvest with grain lorries, tractors and combine harvesters at a continual flow.
- 5) Previous planning applications have been rejected on this site for the access reasons. Nothing regarding access has changed other than the increased volume of traffic and size of vehicles.
- 6) A new build on this site would be totally out of keeping in a historic settlement containing old barns and farm cottages.
- 7) So many other local people were advised by your department that planning permission would never be given on that piece of land. What has changed?
- 8) The area has now become home to a wide variety of bird and wildlife.

This planning application is opportunist and should be rejected as such for the reasons I have listed.

We now have to go through the whole procedure yet again for the new application.

Yours faithfully

Sallie Seymour

7
Item 02 15/02907/FUL CD.6972/C.